Sinco Technologies Pte Ltd. v. Sinco Electronics (Dongguan) Co. Ltd., et al

# APPENDIX D.3

PLAINTIFF'S DISC. DESIGNATIONS & DEFENDANTS' OBJECTIONS

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	ROPERS, MAJESKI, KOHN & BENTLEY
2	LAEL D. ANDARA (SBN 215416)
3	lael.andara@ropers.com ROBIN PEARSON (SBN 146704)
	robin.pearson@ropers.com
4	DANIEL E. GAITAN (SBN 326413)
5	daniel.gaitan@ropers.com 545 Middlefield Road, Suite 175
6	Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: (650) 364-8200
7	Facsimile: (650) 780-1701
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff SINCO TECHNOLÖGIES PTE LTD.
9	
10	UNITED STATE
11	NORTHERN DIST
12	

SINCO TECHNOLOGIES PTE LTD,

## ES DISTRICT COURT RICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff, v. SINCO ELECTRONICS (DONGGUAN) CO., LTD.; XINGLE ELECTRONICS (DONGGUAN) CO., LTD.; XINGKE ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.: SINCOO ELECTRONICS TECHNOLÓGY CO., LTD.; MUI LIANG TJOA (an individual); NG CHER YONG aka CY NG (an individual); and LIEW YEW SOON aka

Defendants.

MARK LIEW (an individual),

Case No. 3:17CV5517

PLAINTIFF SINCO TECHNOLOGIES PTE LTD'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO USE AT TRIAL THE FOLLOWING WRITTEN DISCOVERY RESPONSES

PRETRIAL HEARING Date: October 5, 2021

Time: 2:30 p.m.

Place: Courtroom 5 – 17<sup>th</sup> Floor

Hon. Edward M. Chen

TRIAL DATE November 1, 2021

Plaintiff, SINCO TECHNOLOGIES PTE LTD ("SINCO"), provide notice that pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and (e) and the Federal Rules of Evidence, as to written discovery responses by Defendants as set forth in detail as follows, which Plaintiff anticipates it may use at trial:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### PLAINTIFF'S DISCOVERY RESPONSE DESIGNATIONS

- I. XingKe Electronics (Dongguan) Co., Ltd.
  - Defendant XingKe Electronics (Dongguan) Co., Ltd.'s Objections and A. Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Request for Admissions. Dated May 9, 2019.
    - Request and Response to Admission No. 1
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 13
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 14
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 15
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 19
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 26
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 28  $\rightarrow$
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 29
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 30
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 31
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 32
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 33
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 34
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 37
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 38
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 48.1 (12:20-17)
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 48.2 (12:18-28)
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 48.3 (13:2-12)
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 42
    - Request and Response to for Admission No. 44
  - Defendant XingKe Electronics (Dongguan) Co., Ltd.'s Objections and В. Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories. Dated March 7, 2019.
    - Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 6



1	• Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 7	
2	• Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 9	
3	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 10	
4	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 12	
5	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 13	
6	C. Defendant XingKe Electronics (Dongguan) Co., Ltd.'s Supplemental Objection	ns
7	and Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories. Dated May 10, 2019.	
8	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 2	
9	• Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 5	
10	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 11	
11	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 12	
12	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 15	
13	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 16	
14	II. Mui Liang Tjoa	
15	A. Defendant Mui Liang Tjoa's Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's First Set	of
16	Request for Admissions. Dated November 6, 2018.	
17	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 2	
18	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 3	
19	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 5	
20	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 6	
21	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 7	
22	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 8	
23	Request and Response to for Admission No. 11	
24	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 12	
25	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 16	
26	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 35	
27	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 36	
28	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 44	

1	Request and Response to for Admission No. 48			
2	B. Defendant Mui Liang Tjoa's Objections and Respo	Defendant Mui Liang Tjoa's Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's Second Set		
3	of Request for Admissions. Dated January 21, 201	8.		
4	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 57			
5	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 59			
6	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 62	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 62		
7	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 67			
8	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 73			
9	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 79			
10	Request and Response to for Admission No. 81			
11	C. Defendant Mui Liang Tjoa's Objections and Respo	onses to Plaintiff's Set One		
12	Interrogatories. Dated December 10, 2018.			
13	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 1			
14	o RFA #8.			
15	o RFA #9.			
16	o RFA #33.			
17	o RFA #36.			
18	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 4			
19	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 7	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 7		
20	D. Defendant Mui Liang Tjoa's Amended Objections	and Responses to Plaintiff's		
21	Set One Interrogatories. Dated December 10, 2018.			
22	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 1			
23	o RFA #1.			
24	o RFA #4.			
25	o RFA #39.			
26	o RFA #41.			
27				

6ase 3:17-cv-05517-ENet One Interrogatories On a tech F physical for 2019.

Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 2

NOTICE WR

- 5 -

7

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DOUGLAS A. WINTHROP (SBN 183532) Douglas.Winthrop@arnoldporter.com JEREMY T. KAMRAS (SBN 237377) Jeremy.Kamras@arnoldporter.com JOSEPH FARRIS (SBN 263405) Joseph.Farris@arnoldporter.com ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4024 Telephone: 415.471.3100 Facsimile: 415.471.3400  Attorneys for Defendants XINGKE ELECTRONIC (DONGGUAN) CO., LTD., formerly known as SINCO ELECTRONICS (DONGGUAN) CO., LT LIEW YEW SOON aka, MARK LIEW, NG CHEF YONG. aka CY NG, and MUI LIANG TJOA aka IT JOA	D., R	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15			
16	SINCO TECHNOLOGIES PTE LTD.,	Case No. 3:17-CV-05517-EMC	
17	Plaintiff,	Action Filed: September 22, 2017	
118   119   120   120   121   122   122   123   124   125   126   127	VS.  SINCO ELECTRONICS (DONGGUAN) CO., LTD.; XINGKE ELECTRONICS (DONGGUAN) CO., LTD.; XINGKE ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.; SINCOO ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.; MUI LIANG TJOA (an individual); NG CHER YONG aka CY NG (an individual); and LIEW YEW SOON aka MARK LIEW (an individual),  Defendants.	DEFENDANTS' OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO USE AT TRIAL CERTAIN WRITTEN DISCOVERY RESPONSES  Date: October 5, 2021 Time: 2:30 p.m. Place: Courtroom 5, 17th Floor  Judge: Honorable Edward M. Chen  Trial: November 1, 2021	
28			

1	Defendants
2	Discovery Designa
3	and Defendants res
4	objections with add
5	GENERAL OBJE
6	To the exter
7	relevant supplemen
8	and counter-design
9	specifically identify
10	specifically listed.
11	I. Request for
12	RFA No. 1:
13	3 re: SinCoo.
14	RFA No. 19
15	2 re: Trademark Pr
16	RFA No. 28
17	3 re: SinCoo.
18	RFA No. 3
19	3 re: SinCoo.
20	RFA No. 48
21	No. 2 re: Trademar
22	RFA No. 48
23	No. 2 re: Trademar
24	RFA No. 48
	1

26

27

28

Defendants hereby provide their objections to Plaintiff SinCo Technologies Pte. Ltd.'s Discovery Designations exchanged on September 3, 2021. These objections are preliminary only and Defendants reserve their rights to assert any additional objections, and to supplement these objections with additional facts and authority.

#### GENERAL OBJECTION / COUNTER-DESIGNATION

To the extent that Plaintiff has designated a discovery response without designating any relevant supplemental or amended responses, Defendants object under the rule of completeness, and counter-designate their supplemental or amended responses. Defendants have attempted to specifically identify relevant instances below, but counter-designate relevant responses even if not specifically listed.

#### I. Request for Admission ("RFA") to XingKe, Set One

- **RFA No. 15**. Objection Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. *See* Defs' Motion in Limine No. 3 re: SinCoo.
- **RFA No. 19**. Objection Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. *See* Defs' Motion in Limine No. 2 re: Trademark Proceedings.
- **RFA No. 28**. Objection Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. *See* Defs' Motion in Limine No. 3 re: SinCoo.
- **RFA No. 38**. Objection Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. *See* Defs' Motion in Limine No. 3 re: SinCoo.
- **RFA No. 48.1.** Objection Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. *See* Defs' Motion in Limine No. 2 re: Trademark Proceedings.
- **RFA No. 48.2.** Objection Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. *See* Defs' Motion in Limine No. 2 re: Trademark Proceedings.
- **RFA No. 48.3.** Objection Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. *See* Defs' Motion in Limine No. 2 re: Trademark Proceedings.
- **RFA No. 42.** Objection Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. *See* Defs' Motion in Limine No. 2 re: Trademark Proceedings.

1	<b>RFA No. 44.</b> Objection - Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. <i>See</i> Defs' Motion in Limine No		
2	2 re: Trademark Proceedings.		
3	II. Interrogatories ("ROG") to XingKe, Set One		
4	ROG No. 6. Objection / Counter-Designation - Completeness. XingKe's Supplemental		
5	responses to this ROG should be included as well.		
6	ROG No. 9. Objection Counter-Designation - Completeness. XingKe's Supplemental		
7	responses to this ROG should be included as well.		
8	ROG No. 10. Objection / Counter-Designation - Completeness. XingKe's Supplemental		
9	responses to this ROG should be included as well.		
10	ROG No. 12. Objection / Counter-Designation - Completeness. XingKe's Supplemental		
11	responses to this ROG should be included as well.		
12	ROG No. 13. Objection - Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. See Defs' Motion in Limine		
13	No. 3 re: SinCoo.		
14	III. Request for Admission ("RFA") to Tjoa, Set One		
15	RFA No. 8. Objection - reference to illegally obtained secret recording.		
16	<b>RFA No. 11.</b> Objection - Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. <i>See</i> Defs' Motion in Limine No		
17	2 re: Trademark Proceedings.		
18	<b>RFA No. 12.</b> Objection - Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. <i>See</i> Defs' Motion in Limine No		
19	2 re: Trademark Proceedings.		
20	IV. Request for Admission ("RFA") to Tjoa, Set Two		
21	<b>RFA No. 57.</b> Objection - Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. <i>See</i> Defs' Motion in Limine No		
22	3 re: SinCoo.		
23	<b>RFA No. 59.</b> Objection - Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. <i>See</i> Defs' Motion in Limine No		
24	3 re: SinCoo.		
25	<b>RFA No. 62.</b> Objection - Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. <i>See</i> Defs' Motion in Limine No		
26	2 re: Trademark Proceedings.		
27	<b>RFA No. 79.</b> Objection - Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. <i>See</i> Defs' Motion in Limine No		
28	2 re: Trademark Proceedings.		

1	RFA No. 81. Objection - Irrelevan	nt / Un	nduly Prejudicial. See Defs' Motion in Limine No
2	2 re: Trademark Proceedings.		
3	V. Interrogatories ("ROG") to Tjoa, Set One		
4	General Objection. Plaintiff cited Amended Interrogatory Reponses from Tjoa dated 12-		
5	10-2018 and 1-10-2018, but we were unab	ole to l	ocate any amended interrogatory responses of tha
6	date. Please provide copies of any reference documents, so that we can review to verify if they d		
7	exist. In the interim, Defendants reserve all objections.		
8	ROG No. 1 (RFA 41). Objection	- Irrel	evant / Unduly Prejudicial. See Defs' Motion in
9	Limine No. 3 re: SinCoo.		
10	ROG No. 4. Objection / Counter-	Desig	nation - Completeness. Tjoa's Supplemental
11	responses to this interrogatory should be i	nclude	ed as well.
12			
13	Dated: September 9, 2021.	ARI	NOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP
14		By:	/s/ Douglas A. Winthrop
15		·	DOUGLAS A. WINTĤROP
16			Attorneys for Defendants XINGKE ELECTRONICS (DONGGUAN) CO
17			LTD., formerly known as SINCO ELECTRONICS (DONGGUAN) CO., LTD.,
18			LIEW YEW SOON aka, MARK LIEW, NG CHER YONG. aka CY NG, and MUI LIANG
19			TJOA aka ML TJOA
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			2

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I hereby certify that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served a copy of the foregoing DEFENDANTS' OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO USE AT TRIAL CERTAIN WRITTEN DISCOVERY RESPONSES on September 9, 2021. /s/ Douglas A. Winthrop DOUGLAS A. WINTHROP